Introduced by: <u>Kent Pullen</u>

Proposed No.: 90-401

## motion no. **7914**

A MOTION related to determining whether additional King County permanent jail capacity is necessary, if so, how such need may be met, and preparation of a phased environmental review.

WHEREAS, in the provision of any necessary jail capacity, the King County executive and King County council need to agree on a schedule and process, and

WHEREAS, the executive will develop an operational master plan and a facility master plan to provide information from which King County can decide whether additional permanent jail capacity beyond present levels is necessary, and if so, how that capacity should be provided, and

WHEREAS, there is a high level of community interest in the preparation and review of the operational and facility master plans and King County's consideration of whether additional permanent jail capacity is necessary and, if so, how this should be provided, and

WHEREAS, State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) guidelines, WAC Chapter 197-11, allow environmental review to be phased so as to assist agencies and the public to a focus on issues that require thorough assessment prior to a decision, and

WHEREAS, SEPA procedures provide a process for public comment upon the scope and content of environmental review for the county's decision making process regarding permanent additional jail capacity; and

WHEREAS, the King County executive is proposing a schedule for two phases of review: 1) submittal of an operational master plan and a facility master plan followed by 2) potential site identification, evaluation and recommendation on selection (Attachments A and B, incorporated by reference herein), and

WHEREAS, the King County executive has presented a preliminary schedule to the King County council, the City of Seattle, and suburban jurisdictions for review and comment by May 21, 1990.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The executive is requested to prepare and transmit the following no later

1 64

than May 30, 1990:

- 1. An operational master plan to address the question of whether permanent additional jail capacity is necessary beyond present levels.
- 2. A final schedule, scope of work and budget for preparation of the facility master plan and EIS in order to decide what the options are for providing permanent additional jail capacity, types of facility, and criteria recommended to be used for potential site selection. The preparation of environmental review documents is to be prepared in two phases to coincide with this schedule. The EIS should discuss probable significant adverse environmental impacts and reasonable alternatives, including mitigation, as determined by the EIS scoping process.
- 3. A motion for concurrence of the King County Council to hire an EIS consultant on an emergency basis and an ordinance appropriating sufficient funds to conduct the environmental review.

PASSED this 2th day of May, 1990

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Chair Lois North

ATTEST:

Clerk of the Council

-2-

7914

## Attachment A

## Summary of Proposed Schedule

1.	OMP transmitted to Council (need for additional capacity beyond present levels)	5/28/90
2.	Threshold determination by lead agency (DAD)	5/28/90
3.	Contents of FMP, including options for providing additional jail capacity, types of facilities, and criterito be used for potential site selection.	ia 5/28/90
4.	Organize/train FMP workgroups	6/11/90
5.	King County Council acts on OMP no later than this date	7/02/90
6.	EIS Scope completed by no later than this date	7/02/90
7.	FMP work and EIS review begin	7/02/90
8.	FMP and Phase I FEIS transmitted to Council	12/01/90
9.	King County Council acts on FMP no later than this date	12/30/90
10.	Begin Phase II: Land search, site identification, evaluation, and recommendation on selection along with environmental review documents	12/30/90
11.	Facility Program Plan to Council	4/30/91
12.	Recommended sites transmitted to King County Council along with draft environmental review documents	4/30/91
13.	King County Council decides on site selection no later than this date	10/30/91

NOTE: Opportunity for public input is either through the legislative process or through a combination of legislative process and environmental review. By May 30, 1990 the Executive will submit to the Council a detailed schedule of opportunities for public involvement throughout the process.

## **Master Planning Process**

**PHASE II** 

**PHASE** 

OMP	FMP	Site Identification, Eva
,		Recommendations on
Is there a need for	1) Describes way(s) to meet need	Land Search
additional permanent	2) Analyzes options	Fac. Program Plan
jail capacity?	3) Proposed siting criteria	
EIS Phase	ase I Prepared	EIS Phase II Prepared